

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/650,125	08/27/2003	Lawrence J. Murphy	02115	5521
7590 11/30/2007 Martha Ann Finnegan, Esq.			EXAMINER	
Cabot Corporation			ALEXANDER, LYLE	
157 Concord Road Billerica, MA 01821-7001		•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1797	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/30/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/650,125	MURPHY ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Lyle A. Alexander	1797				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
Period fo	• •	ALC CET TO EVOIDE A MON	ITU(S) OR TUIRTY (20) DAYS				
WHIC - Externafter - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATES of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICA' 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS cause the application to become ABANI	TION. be timely filed from the mailing date of this communication. DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status	·						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 Se	eptember 2007.					
·—	This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-8,11,12,14,16,66 and 70-73 is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
,	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
• —	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8,11,12,14,16,66 and 70-73</u> is/are rejected.						
-	Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
٥,١	<u> </u>	•					
Applicat	ion Papers		,				
,	The specification is objected to by the Examine		Ali a Francisco				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
	under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•				
•	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 H S C & 1	19(a)-(d) or (f)				
-	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	priority under 55 0.5.6. § 1	19(a)-(d) 01 (1).				
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	rity documents have been re	ceived in this National Stage				
	application from the International Bureau						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachmer	•						
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		nmary (PTO-413) //ail Date				
3) 🛛 Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date 9/25/07.		rmal Patent Application				

Application/Control Number:

10/650,125 Art Unit: 1797

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1-8,11-12,14,16,66 and 70-73 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement.

See the appropriate paragraph of the 6/25/07 Office action.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-8,11-12,14,16,66 and 70-73 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

See the appropriate paragraph of the 6/25/07 Office action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-8,11-12,14,16,66 and 70-73 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Han et al. (USP 4,241,602), Tadros (USP 5,078,007) or Tjahjadi et al (USP 5,974,866).

10/650,125 Art Unit: 1797

See the appropriate paragraph of the 6/25/07 Office action.

Claims 1-8,11-12,14,16,66 and 70-73 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Sljaka et al. (USP 3,229,507).

See the appropriate paragraph of the 6/25/07 Office action.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 9/25/07 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicants state "absorptometry curves" are well known in the art as evidenced by USP 3,952,087. This reference to Antonsen et al. has been considered. This reference states absorption techniques are known. However, Antonsen et al. do not teach the claimed "absorptometry curve" or how one would create such a curve. Applicants' have also provide non-USP references describing to "absorptometers". These references teach equipment but fail to teach what and how the claimed "absorptometry curves" could be calculated. The Office maintains one having ordinary skill in the art would not be able to make and or use the instant invention as presently claimed and maintains the 35 USC 112 rejections are proper.

Applicants traverse the cited prior art stating these reference do not teach the claimed method using the claimed "absorptometry curves". In the absence of knowing how to make and use the claimed "absorptometry curves", the Office maintains the cited prior art is performing a similar method of quality control analysis and has been properly applied.

Application/Control Number:

10/650,125 Art Unit: 1797

The Office regrets that non-considered "other documents" were apparently not scanned into the USPTO system. It would be helpful if Applicant could supply additional copies of these documents for consideration. Applicants should note the 37 CFR 1.97 requirements must be met for consideration of any documents.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lyle A. Alexander whose telephone number is 571-272-1254. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday, Wednesday and Friday.

Application/Control Number:

10/650,125 Art Unit: 1797

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jill Warden can be reached on 571-272-1267. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Lyle A Alexander Primary Examiner Art Unit 1743